## **EXHIBIT I**

## Confidential (Per 2004 MDL 1358 Order)

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE: METHYL TERTIARY-BUTYL : MASTER FILE NO.

ETHER ("MTBE") PRODUCTS : 1:00-1898

LIABILITY LITIGATION : MDL 1358 (SAS):

: No. M21-88

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~X

RICO, et al.,
Plaintiffs,

vs. : Case No.:

SHELL OIL CO., et al., : 07-CIV-10470(SAS)

Defendants. :-

CONFIDENTIAL (PER 2004 MDL 1358 ORDER)

THIS TRANSCRIPT CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL

DOCUMENT(S), INFORMATION OR OTHER THINGS

Videotaped 30(b)(6) Deposition of

Commonwealth of Puerto Rico

By and through its Designated Representative

BRUCE K. GREEN

Washington, D.C.

Wednesday, November 20, 2013

Reported by: Janet A. Hamilton, RDR

## Confidential (Per 2004 MDL 1358 Order)

Page 55 5 Topic No. 1 Well Index? 1 2 Α. No. Do you know who did? 0. Counsel provided me this document. I don't Α. know who, who made this list. With regard to this list, it cross-references Q. a topic number 1. Am I correct? Designated issue number 1? I believe that it cross-references other topics that are not specific to the line about having 10 impacted, been impacted or threatened by releases of 11 It's more of a generic question regarding the 12 MTBE. general water resources of the area and wells that, 13 because in, in definition number 16, the definitions of 14 wells refers to those wells that are public or private 15 within plaintiffs' April 27, 2012, trial site 16 delineation for Trial Site No. 5 including but not 17 limited to the wells identified below, and those were 18 Coco, C-O-C-O well, and Santa Maria. 19 So my understanding of this was that there 20 was interest in finding out beyond those two wells, 21 Coco and Santa Maria, what other water resources, wells 22 were in the area, in the delineated area, and to 23 properly answer some of these questions, topics in the 24 back such as 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, these are more 25

## Confidential (Per 2004 MDL 1358 Order)

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 56 generic questions that have, don't mention threats of impact. So to the extent that I thought we should be as inclusive as we can and provide as much information to you as possible, I requested to counsel if we could identify every single well that was in that delineated area. Let's see if we can begin with a Ο. Understood. basic description of the Topic No. 1 Well Index for Trial Site 5. Where it says site number, that number designation indicates what? That is a USGS ID number based on a latitude Α. and longitude. Ο. And with respect to the latitude and longitude, they are also indicated in the third and fourth columns from the left; am I correct? Α. That's correct, and my understanding is that in many times older wells were, the latitude/longitude in their original site ID were used with pre-GIS

in many times older wells were, the latitude/longitude in their original site ID were used with pre-GIS technology. So rather than, and this is what I understand. I'm not sure if it's in all jurisdictions, but if it was a 50-year-old well, it was tagged with the latitude/longitude taken from a map, a topo map, but with the onset of GIS technology and the change to decimal latitude/longitude that would be the location